(c) the steps taken in that direction?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRIMATI KRISHNA SAHI): (a) to (c) Vanaspati and Vegetable Oil Industries have been de-licenced since 24th July, 1991. As such, no industrial licence is required for setting up of Vanaspati Plant under the Provisions of Industries (Development & Regulation) Act. However, 9 Industrial Entrepreneur Memorandum have been filed by private entrepreneurs during August, 1991 — March, 1995 for setting up vegetable oil units at Sambalpur, Kalahandi, Cuttack and Keonjhar districts of Orissa State.

Revival of TCIL

3903. SHRI DIPANKAR MUKHERJEE:

SHRI NILOTPAL BASU:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer to answer to Unstarred Question 2622 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 22nd December, 1994 and state:

(a) whether Government could not give its consent to BIFR on the revival scheme of TCIL on 6th March, 1995 through the scheme was notified by the Bench on 30th December, 1994;

(b) if so, whether the same is not against the stipulation of 60 days for giving consent as per Section 19(2) of SICA when the Operating Agency's report regarding the Tangra Unit was received by Government in early November, 1994;

(c) whether in the BIFR hearing held on 20th September, 1994 the scheme was outlined by the Bench and in para 9 of the proceeding of the same hearing, it was submitted that Government representative was involved at all stages for preparing the revised rehabilitation scheme; and

(d) if so, what are the reasons of this undue delay by Government to take this decision and what is the impact on the revival cost per day for the delay in giving the consent?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRIMATI KRISHNA SAHI): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Section 19(2) of SICA stipulates that every scheme shall be circulated to every person required by the scheme to provide financial assistance for his consent within 60 days from the date of such circulation or within such further period not exceeding 60 days, as may be allowed by BIFR. The Draft Rehabilitation Scheme of TCIL was received by Govt. from BIFR on 6.1.1995. In its hearing on 6.3.1995 BIFR granted Govt. time upto 21.4.1995 for conveying its views. Govt. communicated its views to BIFR within the time limit granted.

(c) In the BIFR hearing on 20.9.94, the revival scheme was outlined by the Bench and the Operating Agency was asked to appropriately modify the scheme. It has been recorded at para 9 of the proceedings that the Govt. representative stated that the revival proposal to Tangra unit was required to be examined in detail by Govt. before making any commitment. It is also recorded that he stated that Govt. representative was involved at all stages of preparing revised Rehabilitation Scheme for Tangra unit. However, the Govt. wrote to BIFR on 17.10.1994 pointing out that the Govt. representative had not made this submission before the Bench. Rather, it was the observation of the Bench.

(d) Does not arise since Govt. has communicated its views within the time limit granted by BIFR